UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/564,753	01/13/2006	Lothar Bauersachs	16056.6	3612
22913 WORKMAN N	7590 07/24/200 IYDEGGER	EXAMINER		
(F/K/A WORKMAN NYDEGGER & SEELEY) 60 EAST SOUTH TEMPLE 1000 EAGLE GATE TOWER			CRANE, DANIEL C	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
SALT LAKE C	SALT LAKE CITY, UT 84111		3725	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			07/24/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		10/564,753	BAUERSACHS ET AL.			
		Examiner	Art Unit			
		Daniel C. Crane	3725			
	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
Status						
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed on					
· —		action is non-final.				
	ce this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
,	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠	Claim(s) 1 and 40-86 is/are pending in the appl	lication				
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
	Claim(s) is/are allowed.	www.mom.gome.gom.gom.				
	6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1 and 40-86</u> is/are rejected.					
·	Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.				
Applicati	on Papers					
	·	•				
<ul> <li>9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.</li> <li>10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.</li> </ul>						
•	Applicant may not request that any objection to the	•				
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correcti	- · ·				
11)[]						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119					
<ul> <li>12) ☒ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) ☒ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:</li> <li>1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No</li> <li>3. ☒ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> </ul>						
Attachment	e of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) ☐ Interview Summary	(PTO-413)			
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date  5) Notice of Informal Patent Application 6) Other:						

#### **BASIS FOR REJECTIONS**

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless --

- (a) the invention was known or used by others in this country, or patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country, before the invention thereof by the applicant for a patent.
- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- (e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371(c) of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

### REJECTION OF CLAIMS ON FORMAL MATTERS

The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

Claim 65 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as containing subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention. The claimed method is unsupported by the original disclosure because the disclosure fails to provide details of how the shaping tools with a reference position is determined by one of the shaping energy for "shaping the tool". Thus, the disclosure is

insufficient for this provision of "shaping the tool" in conjunction with the shaping of the workpiece and the lifting of the workpiece.

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 1 and 40-86 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. With reference to claims 1, 40, 64 and 77, the phrases "such as" or "preferably" render the claim indefinite because it is unclear whether the limitations following the phrase are part of the claimed invention. See MPEP § 2173.05(d).

### REJECTION OF CLAIMS OVER PRIOR ART

Claims 1, 40-42, 47, 48, 64, 66, 68-70 and 76-83, are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Maher (5,105,647). Maher illustrates and discloses an apparatus and process for shaping a workpiece using at least two tools 20a and 20b where a workpiece is shaped between the tools by placing the workpiece between the tools and removing the workpiece from the tools. A handling device 28 lifts (see column 7, lines 20-32) the workpiece from the tool and transfers the workpiece from station to station 14. A triggering time is detected with respect to the position of the tools and transmitted to a controller so that the handling device can be activated at the appropriate time to transfer the workpiece out of the station. See the paragraph bridging columns 4 and 5 where

"...the transfer system further comprise at least one sensor means for detecting the state of operation of the associated production system for purposes of synchronizing the operation of the transfer mechanism to the system. In the case of a transfer press, a sensor means is mounted on the press ram in order to sense the position of the ram during each stroke of the press. The sensor is operatively connected to a means for centrally controlling movement of the transfer rail and finger operator rail to synchronize travel of the workpieces through the successive work stations in timed relation with performance of the sequence of operation." (Emphasis added)

Thus, the timing of the operations is all performed in a sequencing manner based upon a triggering of the system from the sensed or detected position of the tool (ram).

Claims 43-46, 71-73 and 84 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Maher (5,105,647). While the timing sequence is not specified by Maher, it is the examiner's position that the skilled artisan familiar with this field of endeavor would have realized the selection of timing intervals necessary to prevent interference between the tools and the handling device. Furthermore, the skilled artisan would have selected timing sequences that provide efficient operation of the shaping system. Accordingly, the skilled artisan would have been disposed to select appropriate timing sequences needed to accomplish the operations.

### INDICATION OF ALLOWABLE SUBJECT MATTER

Claims 49-63, 67, 74, 75, 85 and 86 would be allowable if rewritten to overcome the rejection(s) under 35 U.S.C. 112, 2nd paragraph, set forth in this Office action and to include all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

# PRIOR ART CITED BY EXAMINER

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

## **RESPONSE BY APPLICANT(S)**

Applicant(s) response to be fully responsive and to provide for a clear record must specifically point out how the language of the claims patentably distinguishes them from the references, both those references applied in the objections and rejections and those references cited in view of the state of the art in accordance with 37 CFR 1.111 (a), (b) and (c).

## **INQUIRIES**

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Examiner D. Crane whose telephone number is **(571) 272-4516**. The examiner's office hours are 7:00AM-3:30PM, Monday through Friday.

Documents related to the instant application may be submitted by facsimile transmission at all times to Fax number (571) 273-8300. Applicant(s) is(are) reminded to clearly mark any transmission as "DRAFT" if it is not to be considered as an official response. The Examiner's Fax number is (571) 273-4516.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR

system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

DCCrane July 16, 2007 Daniel C. Crane

Primary Patent Examiner Group Art Unit 3725